

A Level Statistics

AQA Past Exam Questions

Solutions

TOPIC: HYPOTHEIS TESTING

Correlation Coefficients

Candidates may use any calculator allowed by Pearson regulations. Calculators must not have retrievable mathematical formulae stored in them.

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- If pencil is used for diagrams/sketches/graphs it must be dark (HB or B). Coloured pencils and highlighter pens must not be used.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **all** questions and ensure that your answers to parts of questions are clearly labelled.
- Answer the questions **on paper**
- You should show sufficient working to make your methods clear. Answers without working may not gain full credit.
- Unless otherwise stated, statistical tests should be carried out at the 5% significance level.
- When a calculator is used, the answer should be given to three significant figures unless otherwise stated.

Information

- **You may use the** booklet 'Statistical Formulae and Tables'
- There are **15** questions in this question paper. The total mark for this paper is **157**
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets – use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Try to answer every question.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.
- If you change your mind about an answer, cross it out and put your new answer and any working underneath.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

1 (a)	<table border="1"> <tr><th>Film</th><th>Ti</th><th>Ret</th><th>2T</th><th>Tr</th><th>Ry</th></tr> <tr><th>x rank</th><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><th>y rank</th><td>5</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><th>Film</th><th>Gl</th><th>Sam</th><th>BB</th><th>Ra</th><th>Sol</th></tr> <tr><th>x rank</th><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><th>y rank</th><td>9</td><td>3</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>6</td></tr> </table> <p>$r_s = 0.515$ or $17/33$ (3 sf from calc)</p> <p>sc2: 0.51/2</p> <p>scl: 0.5 awrt</p>	Film	Ti	Ret	2T	Tr	Ry	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	5	1	4	2	8	Film	Gl	Sam	BB	Ra	Sol	x rank	6	7	8	9	10	y rank	9	3	10	7	6	M1 M1 A1	6	Attempt at ranks 14 correct (can be reversed)
Film	Ti	Ret	2T	Tr	Ry																																			
x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																			
y rank	5	1	4	2	8																																			
Film	Gl	Sam	BB	Ra	Sol																																			
x rank	6	7	8	9	10																																			
y rank	9	3	10	7	6																																			
Alternative $d = 4, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 2, 2, 4$ $\sum d^2 = 80$ B1																																								
(b)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of gross takings and body counts are independent.</p> <p>H_1 Rank orders of gross takings and body counts are not independent: a positive association exists</p> <p>1 tail 10%</p> <p>$cv = 0.4424$</p> <p>test stat $r_s = 0.515$</p> <p>$r_s > cv$</p> <p>comparison ts/cv</p> <p>Reject H_0. Significant evidence at 10% level to suggest a positive association between rank orders of gross takings and body counts. For films with a body count greater than 50, those with higher body counts tend to have higher gross takings.</p>	B1	6	or equivalent in words/symbols																																				
				comparison ts/cv																																				
		E1	4	Correct conclusion in context																																				

AQA_JUNE_2013_6b

6(b)(i)	$d \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1.5 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 2.5$ $\sum d^2 = 11.5$ $SRCC \quad r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times \sum d^2}{9 \times 80} = 0.904$ or $SRCC \quad r_s = 0.904$ (from calc)	M1 A1 (B3)	3	Differences Formula correct SC1 0.9 SC2 0.90 if no method shown
(ii)	H_0 : Rank orders of personality score and happiness score are independent. H_1 : Rank orders of personality score and happiness score are not independent. 2 tail 1% $cv = 0.8167$ test stat $r_s = 0.904$ $r_s > cv$ Reject H_0 . Significant evidence at 1% level to suggest an association (positive) between rank orders of personality score and happiness score. Students with a higher extrovert personality score tend to have a higher happiness score.	B1 B1 M1 A1 E1		Hypothesis cv cao comparison ft seen or implied ts/cv correct in context – vice versa OK

AQA_JUNE_2012_2

2				
(a)	r_s $x \quad 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 4, 2, 7, 8, 10, 8, 6, 5, 2, 1, 7, 9, 4, 3$ $y \quad 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 4, 2, 6, 8, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 1, 7, 9, 5, 3$ r_s (from calculator) = 0.988 or 0.987 0.98/0.99 allow B2 if no method seen	M1 M1 A1 B3	6	for any ranks 2 separate sets of ranks All correct alternatively differences, d : 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 $\sum d^2 = 2$ M1 diffs $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 2}{10 \times 99} = 0.988$ or 0.987 M1, A1
(b)	H_0 no association H_1 positive association 1 tail 1% $r_s = 0.988$ critical value = 0.7333 tests stat $r_s > 0.7333$ so significant evidence exists to reject H_0 and conclude that a positive association exists. This suggests that hurricanes in which there are higher numbers of injuries also result in a greater cost in property damage (or positive assoc in context)	B1 B1 M1 E1	4	Allow $p/\rho = 0$ or words Must be 1 tail for cv comparison ts/cv; ft r_s in (a) $0.7667, 0.7818/0.6485/0.700$ B0 M1 E0 explanation in context

6(a)	<p>Ranks</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="214 190 595 527"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th>Rank mother</th><th>Rank son</th><th>Rank d'ter</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>5½</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>5½</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>3</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>6½</td><td>8</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>6½</td><td>7</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>10</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>9</td><td>9</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>4</td><td>10</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Rank mother	Rank son	Rank d'ter	1	1	2	2	2	2	5½	1	3	3	1	4	4	4	5½	4	5	5	3	4	6	6½	8	6	7	6½	7	7	8	8	10	8	9	9	9	9	10	10	4	10		
	Rank mother	Rank son	Rank d'ter																																												
1	1	2	2																																												
2	2	5½	1																																												
3	3	1	4																																												
4	4	5½	4																																												
5	5	3	4																																												
6	6½	8	6																																												
7	6½	7	7																																												
8	8	10	8																																												
9	9	9	9																																												
10	10	4	10																																												
		M1	Any 3 correct ranks mother																																												
		M1	Any 3 correct ranks daughter																																												
		M1	Ties correct in any column																																												
		A1	All correct																																												
		4																																													
(b)(i)	$r_s = 0.598$ (3 sig figs)	B2	Alt. diff $ d $ 1, 3½, 2, 1½, 2, 1½, ½, 2, 0, 6																																												
			$\sum d^2 = 66$ M1																																												
		4	$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 66}{10 \times 99} = 0.6$ A1																																												
(ii)	$r_s = 0.972$ (3 sig figs)	B2	Alt. diff $ d $ 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, ½, ½, 0, 0, 0,																																												
			$\sum d^2 = 4.5$ M1																																												
		4	$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 4.5}{10 \times 99} = 0.973$ A1																																												
(c)(i)(ii)	H ₀ no assoc in ranks in population between mother and son/daughter H ₁ positive assoc in ranks in population between mother and son/daughter	B1	or equivalent																																												
	1 tail test 1 % level cv = 0.7333	B1	for both																																												
	Mother/son ts $r_s = 0.598 < 0.7333$	M1	for cv																																												
	Accept H ₀	A1	conclusion correct																																												
	Mother/daughter ts $r_s = 0.972 > 0.7333$	M1	conclusion correct																																												
	Reject H ₀	A1																																													
	There is significant evidence of a positive correlation between number of years spent in full-time education for mother and daughter but no significant evidence of a positive correlation for mother and son.	E1	7																																												

<p>1a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is the appropriate measure of correlation for these data because there are no measured values given.</p> <p>1b Ranks</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="239 354 716 691"> <thead> <tr> <th></th><th><i>Rank 400m</i></th><th><i>Rank cross country</i></th><th>d</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>A</td><td>3</td><td>6 3</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>B</td><td>4</td><td>1 8</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>C</td><td>7</td><td>3 6</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>D</td><td>5</td><td>2 7</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>E</td><td>1</td><td>8 1</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>F</td><td>2</td><td>7 2</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>G</td><td>8</td><td>4 5</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>H</td><td>6</td><td>5 4</td><td>1</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		<i>Rank 400m</i>	<i>Rank cross country</i>	$ d $	A	3	6 3	3	B	4	1 8	3	C	7	3 6	4	D	5	2 7	3	E	1	8 1	7	F	2	7 2	5	G	8	4 5	4	H	6	5 4	1	<p>E1</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>E1 <u>Ranks only</u> for 400m & <u>position only</u> for cross country or ref to <u>orders given</u></p>
	<i>Rank 400m</i>	<i>Rank cross country</i>	$ d $																																				
A	3	6 3	3																																				
B	4	1 8	3																																				
C	7	3 6	4																																				
D	5	2 7	3																																				
E	1	8 1	7																																				
F	2	7 2	5																																				
G	8	4 5	4																																				
H	6	5 4	1																																				
<p>1c $H_0: \rho_s = 0$ $H_1: \rho_s \neq 0$ 2 tail 5% test stat $r_s = 0.595$ $\text{critical value} = 0.7381$ $-0.595 > -0.7381$ so no significant evidence exists to reject H_0</p> <p>This suggests that there is no correlation between rank/ position in 400m races and position in county cross country final race.</p> <p>1d H_0 accepted in error as H_0 actually untrue Conclusion made that there is no correlation between rank/ position in 400m races and position in county cross country final race when, in reality, there is a correlation between them.</p>	<p>M1 A1</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>M1 for ranks attempt cross country A1 all correct (can be reversed) can be implied by d m1dep for $\sum d^2 = 134$ $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 134}{8 \times 63} = -0.595$ M1 A1</p> <p>B1 r_s negative B2 $0.590 \leq r_s \leq 0.599$</p> <p>Hypotheses oe Correct abs value for cv 0.738(1) Correct comparison both -ve/ +ve</p> <p>E1dep Conclusion correct in context</p> <p>B1 Correct explanation of Type II error</p> <p>E1 In context</p>																																				
<p>1e(i) PMCC $r = -0.904$ (3 sf) (from calculator)</p> <p>sc (ii) -0.90 allow M1 M1 A0 (or B2) -0.9 sc allow B1</p> <p>PMCC indicates a strong negative correlation between best time taken to run 400m and time taken to run cross country race final. This indicates that we would expect faster 400m runners to be slower at running the cross country race.</p>	<p>B3</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>(-0.905, -0.903) or $r =$ $8671.488 - \frac{434.4 \times 160.07}{8} = \frac{-20.3}{3.17 \times 7.08} = -0.904$ M1 (num), M1(denom), A1</p> <p>Interpretation in context</p>																																				

2(a)	<p>Ranks</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="198 168 619 505"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><i>x</i></th> <th><i>y</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A</td> <td>2 8</td> <td>1 9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B</td> <td>4 6</td> <td>4½ 5½</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C</td> <td>8½ 1½</td> <td>6 4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>D</td> <td>5 5</td> <td>7 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>E</td> <td>1 9</td> <td>3 7</td> </tr> <tr> <td>F</td> <td>8½ 1½</td> <td>8 2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>G</td> <td>7 3</td> <td>9 1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H</td> <td>3 7</td> <td>2 8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I</td> <td>6 4</td> <td>4½ 5½</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$r_s = 0.807$ from calculator or $d = 1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -2, -2, \frac{1}{2}, -2, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ $\sum d^2 = 23$ SRCC $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 23}{9 \times 80} = 0.808$</p>		<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	A	2 8	1 9	B	4 6	4½ 5½	C	8½ 1½	6 4	D	5 5	7 3	E	1 9	3 7	F	8½ 1½	8 2	G	7 3	9 1	H	3 7	2 8	I	6 4	4½ 5½	M1 m1 A1 B3 (m1) (m1) (A1)		<p>Attempt to rank one column/category Correct use of ties at least once All correct and consistent sc Ranked as one group gains M1 m1 only</p> <p>(condone 0.806) AWRT Differences (ignore sign) Formula correct</p> <p>sc2 no method $r_s = 0.81$ sc1 no method $r_s = 0.8$ (-B1 if negative) sc 4 -0.806/7/8 nms Note PMCC 0.691 gains 0</p>
	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>																																
A	2 8	1 9																																
B	4 6	4½ 5½																																
C	8½ 1½	6 4																																
D	5 5	7 3																																
E	1 9	3 7																																
F	8½ 1½	8 2																																
G	7 3	9 1																																
H	3 7	2 8																																
I	6 4	4½ 5½																																
(b)(i)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of price and cocoa content are not associated H_1 Rank orders of price and cocoa content have a positive association</p> <p>1 tail 1% $cv = 0.7667$ $r_s = 0.808$ or 0.807 or $0.806 > 0.7667$</p> <p>Reject H_0 Significant evidence to suggest that rank orders of recommended retail price and percentage cocoa/ cocoa content have a positive association.</p>	B1 B1 E1		<p>Correct hypotheses oe H_0 no correlation H_1 positive correlation</p> <p>cv correct cao awrt 0.767 consistent comparison with correct cv +/- or -/ (allow small slip in part (a))</p> <p>Correct conclusion in context need price and <u>cocoa percentage</u> or <u>cocoa content</u> Dep ts/cv correct</p>																														
(ii)	<p>H_0 rejected in error.</p> <p>Conclusion that there is a positive association between recommended retail price and percentage cocoa content, when, in fact, there is no positive association.</p>	E1 E1		<p>Type I error defined</p> <p>In context need price and <u>cocoa percentage</u> or <u>cocoa content</u></p>																														

(a) (i) Ranks

	Score rank	Takings ranks
A	6 7	2
B	3 10	10½
C	2 11	9
D	12 1	7
E	11 2	10½
F	4 9	3
G	8½ 4½	4
H	1 12	12
I	8½ 4½	1
J	5 8	8
K	10 3	6
L	7 6	5

Note
PMCC
0.2749
B0

$$r_s = \pm 0.312 \text{ from calculator}$$

or

$$|d| = 4, 7\frac{1}{2}, 7, 5, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 4\frac{1}{2}, 11, 7\frac{1}{2}, 3, 4, 2$$

or (5, $\frac{1}{2}$, 2, 6, 8½, 6, $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, 3½, 0, 3, 1)

$$\sum d^2 = 374 \text{ or } \sum d^2 = 196$$

$$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 374}{12 \times 143} = -0.308 \text{ or } = 1 - \frac{6 \times 196}{12 \times 143} = 0.315$$

(ii) H_0 : Rank orders of gender bias score and takings are independent.
 H_1 : Rank orders of gender bias score and takings are not independent.

$$2 \text{ tail } 10\% \quad |cv| = 0.5035$$

$$|r_s| = 0.312 \text{ or } 0.308 \text{ or } 0.315 < 0.5035$$

Accept H_0
No significant evidence at 10% level to doubt that rank orders of gender bias score and takings are independent

M1
Attempt to rank score (can be reversed)

M1
Correct use of ties

B3
scB2 no method $r_s = \pm 0.31$

or
B1
M1
A1
Differences and $\sum d^2$ effort
formula correct
- 0.308, 0.315

5

B1
Allow H_0 No association
 H_1 Association (or ref to r/p)
Correct hypotheses stated in (i) or (ii)

B1
M1
cv correct cao
consistent comparison correct SRCC
and correct cv +/- or -/- PI

4

E1
Conclusion in context

(b) (i) SRCC 0.754 B0	PMCC = 0.813 from calculator sc 0.81 allow M1 M1 A0 (or B2)	B3	or $r_s = \frac{96124 - \frac{1333 \times 802}{12}}{\sqrt{2728.9 \times 27429.7}} = \frac{87253.2}{52.2 \times 165.6}$ $= \frac{7035.2}{8651.8} = 0.813 \text{ (3 sf)}$ M1, M1, A1 awfw (0.811, 0.815) Hypotheses oe
(ii)	$H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho > 0$ 1 tail 1% test stat $ r = 0.813$ $ cv = 0.6581$ $0.813 > 0.6581$ Reject H_0 Significant evidence to suggest that there is a positive correlation between running time and budget or Arthur's belief is correct/supported by the conclusion.	B1 B1 M1	cao cv consistent comparison correct PMCC with cv E1 4 correct conclusion in context

1(a)	$r = 0.809$ from calculator or $\sum xy = 158928$ B1 and $r = \frac{\frac{158928}{12} - \left(\frac{1495}{12} \times \frac{1271}{12}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{189473}{12} - \left(\frac{1495}{12}\right)^2\right) \times \left(\frac{134781}{12} - \left(\frac{1271}{12}\right)^2\right)}}$ oe $= \frac{48.549}{16.383 \times 3.662} = 0.809$ M1 A1	B3		sc 0.81 no workings B2 sc 0.8 no working B1 (0.799 – 0.815) 3 Hypotheses oe
1(b)	$H_0: \rho = 0$ $H_1: \rho > 0$ 1 tail 1% test stat $r = 0.809$ critical value = 0.658 $0.809 > 0.658$ so significant evidence exists to reject H_0 This suggests that there is a positive correlation between height and systolic blood pressure for healthy boys aged between 5 years and 10 years.	B1	B1 M1 dep cv	Correct value for cv Comparison 'ts'/cv [or Reject H_0] 4 Conclusion correct in context Dep ts and cv correct
1(c)	Conclusion can only refer to healthy/boys no girls , not all children	E1	1	

6(a)(i)	<table border="1" data-bbox="212 170 700 339"> <thead> <tr> <th>student</th><th>1</th><th>2</th><th>3</th><th>4</th><th>5</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>micro rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>macro rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>4</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" data-bbox="212 372 700 541"> <thead> <tr> <th>student</th><th>6</th><th>7</th><th>8</th><th>9</th><th>10</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>micro rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>macro rank</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$r_s = 0.854(5)$ (3 sf from calc)</p>	student	1	2	3	4	5	micro rank	1	2	3	4	5	macro rank	1	2	5	7	4	student	6	7	8	9	10	micro rank	6	7	8	9	10	macro rank	3	6	8	9	10	M1 A1	B3	5	$(r = 0.927)$ attempt at ranks
student	1	2	3	4	5																																				
micro rank	1	2	3	4	5																																				
macro rank	1	2	5	7	4																																				
student	6	7	8	9	10																																				
micro rank	6	7	8	9	10																																				
macro rank	3	6	8	9	10																																				
(a)(ii)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks are independent. H_1 Rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks are not independent. 2 tail 2%</p> <p>$cv = \pm 0.7333$ test stat $r_s = 0.854(5)$ $r_s > 0.7333$ or $r_s > cv$</p> <p>Reject H_0 Significant evidence at 2% level to suggest an association between rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks. [Student with higher rank mark in micro-economics also has higher rank mark in macro-economics]</p>	B1	B1	5	Alternative $d = 0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0$ $\sum d^2 = 24$ $B1r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 24}{10 \times 99}$ $= 0.854(5)$ M1, A1 (2 sf and no working SC4, A0)																																				
		M1	A1	5	for cv for comparison ts/cv $r_s = 0.854(5)$ allow A1 if r 'close' and marks lost in (a)(i)																																				
		E1	5	in context																																					

5(a)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Team</th><th>A</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>x rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>y rank</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>6</td><td>7</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Team</th><th>F</th><th>G</th><th>H</th><th>I</th><th>J</th><th>K</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>x rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>$9\frac{1}{2}$</td><td>$9\frac{1}{2}$</td><td>11</td></tr> <tr> <td>y rank</td><td>10</td><td>3</td><td>11</td><td>9</td><td>1</td><td>8</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Team	A	B	C	D	E	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	2	5	4	6	7	Team	F	G	H	I	J	K	x rank	6	7	8	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$9\frac{1}{2}$	11	y rank	10	3	11	9	1	8	M1 M1 A1		
Team	A	B	C	D	E																																						
x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																						
y rank	2	5	4	6	7																																						
Team	F	G	H	I	J	K																																					
x rank	6	7	8	$9\frac{1}{2}$	$9\frac{1}{2}$	11																																					
y rank	10	3	11	9	1	8																																					
B3	6	<p>$r_s = 0.355$ (3sf from calc)</p> <p>Or</p> $d = 1, 3, 1, 2, 2, 4, 4, 3, \frac{1}{2}, 8\frac{1}{2}, 3$ $\sum d^2 = 141\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{B1}$ $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 141\frac{1}{2}}{11 \times 120} = 0.357 \quad \text{M1A1}$ <p>SC4 0.36 SC4 0.318</p>																																									
(b)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of gate receipts and player costs are independent</p> <p>H_1 Rank orders of gate receipts and player costs are not independent – there is a positive association</p> <p>1 tail 10%</p> <p>$cv = 0.4182$</p> <p>$ts \ r_s = 0.355$</p> <p>$r_s < 0.4182$</p> <p>Accept H_0 No significant evidence at 10% level to suggest a positive association between rank orders of gate receipts and player costs</p>	B1																																									
			B1		<p>For cv</p> <p>For comparison ts/cv</p> <p>$r_s = 0.355$ or 0.357</p> <p>In context</p>																																						

	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)(i)	<p>From calculator $r = 0.891$</p> $\text{or } r = \frac{29495 - \frac{2885 \times 69}{7}}{\sqrt{14242.86} \times \sqrt{98.86}}$ $= \frac{1057.14}{119.34 \times 9.94}$ $= 0.891$			<p>Alternative $n = 7$</p> $\sum y = 69 \quad \sum x = 2885$ $\sum y^2 = 779$ $\sum x^2 = 1203275$ $\sum xy = 29495 \quad \text{M1}$ <p>m1 formula in (i) or (ii) 0.885 to 0.905 A1 (3sf)</p>
(ii)	<p>From calculator $r = 0.658$</p> $\text{or } r = \frac{34021 - \frac{2885 \times 81.8}{7}}{\sqrt{14242.86} \times \sqrt{15.35}}$ $= \frac{307.71}{119.34 \times 3.92}$ $= 0.658$	M1 M1 A1	5	<p>Alternative $n = 7$</p> $\sum z = 81.8 \quad \sum z^2 = 971.24$ $\sum xz = 34021 \quad \text{M1}$ <p>0.650 to 0.665 A1</p>
(b)	$r_{xy} = 0.891 \quad r_{xz} = 0.658$ $H_0 \rho = 0$ $H_1 \rho > 0 \quad 1 \text{ tail } 5\% \text{ sig level}$ <p>Need only be stated once</p> <p>test stat $r_{xy} = 0.891$</p> <p>cv = 0.6694 $n = 7$</p> <p>since $t > 0.6694$</p> <p>Reject H_0</p> <p>test stat $r_{xz} = 0.658$</p> <p>cv = 0.6694 $n = 7$</p> <p>since $t < 0.6694$</p> <p>Accept H_0</p>	B1		<p>For hypotheses stated correctly once</p> <p>For cv and comparison</p> <p>For Reject H_0; ft</p> <p>For Accept H_0</p>
(c)	<p>There is significant evidence to suggest a positive correlation between the calories and the fat content of milkshakes: the higher the fat content, the higher the calories.</p> <p>There is no significant evidence to suggest a positive correlation between the calories and the volume of the milkshakes.</p>	E1 E1	4 2	

1(a)	<table border="1"> <tr><td>Male</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td><td>E</td></tr> <tr><td>x rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>y rank</td><td>11</td><td>9</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>Male</td><td>F</td><td>G</td><td>H</td><td>I</td><td>J</td></tr> <tr><td>x rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8½</td><td>8½</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>y rank</td><td>4</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>5</td><td>3</td></tr> <tr><td>Male</td><td>K</td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>x rank</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>y rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> </table> <p>$r_s = -0.844$ (3 sf from calc)</p>	Male	A	B	C	D	E	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	11	9	7	12	10	Male	F	G	H	I	J	x rank	6	7	8½	8½	10	y rank	4	8	6	5	3	Male	K	L				x rank	11	12				y rank	1	2				M1 M1 A1 B3 B1 B1 M1 E1	attempt at ranks 18 correct (can be reversed) all correct — can be reversed alternative $d = 10, 7, 4, 8, 5, 2, 1, 2\frac{1}{2}, 3\frac{1}{2}, 7, 10, 10$ $\sum d^2 = 526\frac{1}{2}$ B1 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 526.5}{12 \times 143} = -0.841$ M1, A1 SC4 -0.84 (no method seen) SC2 -0.8 (no method seen) SC4 0.846 (no ties) SC4 +0.844 (inconsistent ranks)
Male	A	B	C	D	E																																																				
x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																																				
y rank	11	9	7	12	10																																																				
Male	F	G	H	I	J																																																				
x rank	6	7	8½	8½	10																																																				
y rank	4	8	6	5	3																																																				
Male	K	L																																																							
x rank	11	12																																																							
y rank	1	2																																																							

2(a)	<p>From calculator $r = 0.915$ (0.91456)</p> $\text{or } r = \frac{2102.57 - \left(\frac{135.2 \times 147.9}{10} \right)}{\sqrt{128.976} \times \sqrt{98.269}}$ $= \frac{102.962}{11.35 \times 9.913}$ $= 0.915$	B3	3	<p>AWRT B2 for 0.914 or 0.91–0.92 B1 for 0.9</p> <p>Alternative: $n = 10 \quad \sum x = 135.2 \quad \sum y = 147.9$ $\sum x^2 = 1956.88 \quad \sum y^2 = 2285.71$ $\sum xy = 2102.57 \quad (\text{M1})$ sub in formula (m1) (A1)</p>
	<p>(b) $H_0 \rho = 0$ $H_1 \rho > 0$ 1 tail 1% sig level test statistic $r = 0.915$ $cv = 0.7155 \quad n = 10$ since $ts > 0.7155$ Reject H_0 Significant evidence at 1% level to suggest a positive linear association between the weight gain of mothers during pregnancy and the weight of their children at 3 years of age</p>	B1		<p>Or words</p>
		B1		For cv
		M1		For comparison ts/cv
		A1		
		E1	5	In context (ft)

2(a)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Country</th><th>A</th><th>B</th><th>C</th><th>D</th><th>E</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>x rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>y rank</td><td>6</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr> <th>Country</th><th>F</th><th>G</th><th>H</th><th>I</th><th>J</th></tr> <tr> <td>x rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>y rank</td><td>8</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>3</td><td>1</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$r_s = -0.212$ (3 sf from calc)</p> <p>Alternative $d = 5, 3, 1, 5, 3, 2, 3, 1, 6, 9$ $\sum d^2 = 200$</p> $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 200}{10 \times 99}$ $= 1 - 1.212 = -0.212$	Country	A	B	C	D	E	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	6	5	4	9	2	Country	F	G	H	I	J	x rank	6	7	8	9	10	y rank	8	10	7	3	1	M1		attempt at ranks (can be reversed)
Country	A	B	C	D	E																																			
x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																			
y rank	6	5	4	9	2																																			
Country	F	G	H	I	J																																			
x rank	6	7	8	9	10																																			
y rank	8	10	7	3	1																																			
M1		for 16 correct																																						
(b)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles are independent.</p> <p>H_1 Rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles are not independent – there is an association</p> <p>2 tail 10%</p> <p>$cv = \pm 0.5636$ $n = 10$ 2 tail 10%</p> <p>test stat $r_s = -0.212$ $r_s > -0.5636$</p> <p>Accept H_0 No significant evidence at 10% level to suggest an association between rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles for countries in the EU.</p>	A1																																						
		B3	6	Award B2 for $-0.22 \sim -0.20$, B1 for -0.2 , but B0 for -0.189 (PMCC)																																				
	<p>H_0 no association</p> <p>H_1 some association</p> <p>for cv</p>	B1																																						
		M1		for comparison ts/cv; needs r_s correct 2sf Allow $r_s = 0.212$, $cv = 0.5636$ but not if signs are different																																				
	<p>$A1$</p> <p>$E1$</p>																																							
		E1	5	SC -0.189 used can earn max B1B1M1																																				

1(a)	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rank x</th><th>Rank y</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>10</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>9</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>7</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr><td>6</td><td>2</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>3</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <p>$r_s = 0.673$ (3 sf from calc)</p>	Rank x	Rank y	10	8	9	6	8	9	7	10	6	2	5	5	4	7	3	4	2	1	1	3	B3	6	<p>M1 M1 A1</p> <p>attempt at ranks (can be reversed) for 16 correct</p>	<p>inconsistent SC M1M1 B2</p> <p>AWRT B2 0.67 B1 0.7 ft B2 from wrong ranks (small slip)</p> <p>No ranks seen, SC 0.67 B4 0.7 B3</p> <p>alternative $d = 2, 3, 1, 3, 4, ., 3, 1, 1, 2$ $\sum d^2 = 54$ B1</p> <p>$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 54}{10 \times 99} = 0.673$ M1, A1</p>
Rank x	Rank y																										
10	8																										
9	6																										
8	9																										
7	10																										
6	2																										
5	5																										
4	7																										
3	4																										
2	1																										
1	3																										
(b)	<p>H_0 Rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females are independent.</p> <p>H_1 Rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females are not independent – there is an association</p> <p>2 tail 10%</p> <p>$cv = \pm 0.5636$ $n = 10$</p> <p>test stat $r_s = 0.673$ $r_s > 0.5636$</p> <p>Reject H_0. Significant evidence at 10% level to suggest an association between rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females.(or positive association)</p>	B1			<p>or equivalent</p>																						
		B1			<p>for cv</p>																						
		M1			<p>for comparison ts/cv SC Allow M1 0.593/0.5494 (pmcc)</p>																						
		E1	4		<p>correct and in context</p>																						