

# A Level Statistics

## AQA Past Exam Questions

### Solutions

## TOPIC: HYPOTHEIS TESTING

### Correlation Coefficients

Candidates may use any calculator allowed by Pearson regulations. Calculators must not have retrievable mathematical formulae stored in them.

#### Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- If pencil is used for diagrams/sketches/graphs it must be dark (HB or B). Coloured pencils and highlighter pens must not be used.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **all** questions and ensure that your answers to parts of questions are clearly labelled.
- Answer the questions **on paper**
- You should show sufficient working to make your methods clear. Answers without working may not gain full credit.
- Unless otherwise stated, statistical tests should be carried out at the 5% significance level.
- When a calculator is used, the answer should be given to three significant figures unless otherwise stated.

#### Information

- **You may use the** booklet 'Statistical Formulae and Tables'
- There are **15** questions in this question paper. The total mark for this paper is **157**
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets – use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.

#### Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Try to answer every question.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.
- If you change your mind about an answer, cross it out and put your new answer and any working underneath.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

1 (a)	<table><tr><td>Film</td><td>Ti</td><td>Ret</td><td>2T</td><td>Tr</td><td>Ry</td></tr><tr><td>x rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>y rank</td><td>5</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>8</td></tr><tr><td>Film</td><td>Gl</td><td>Sam</td><td>BB</td><td>Ra</td><td>Sol</td></tr><tr><td>x rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>y rank</td><td>9</td><td>3</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>6</td></tr></table>	Film	Ti	Ret	2T	Tr	Ry	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	5	1	4	2	8	Film	Gl	Sam	BB	Ra	Sol	x rank	6	7	8	9	10	y rank	9	3	10	7	6	M1 M1		Attempt at ranks 14 correct (can be reversed)
	Film	Ti	Ret	2T	Tr	Ry																																		
x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																			
y rank	5	1	4	2	8																																			
Film	Gl	Sam	BB	Ra	Sol																																			
x rank	6	7	8	9	10																																			
y rank	9	3	10	7	6																																			
		A1		<b>Alternative</b> $d = 4, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 2, 2, 4$ $\sum d^2 = 80$ B1																																				
	$r_s = 0.515$ or $17/33$ (3 sf from calc)	B3	6	$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 80}{10 \times 99} = 0.515$ M1, A1																																				
	sc2: $0.51/2$																																							
	sc1: $0.5$ awrt																																							
(b)	$H_0$ Rank orders of gross takings and body counts are independent.																																							
	$H_1$ Rank orders of gross takings and body counts are not independent: a positive association exists 1 tail 10%	B1		or equivalent in words/symbols																																				
	cv = $0.4424$	B1																																						
	test stat $r_s = 0.515$																																							
	$r_s > cv$	M1		comparison ts/cv																																				
	comparison ts/cv																																							
	Reject $H_0$ . Significant evidence at 10% level to suggest a positive association between rank orders of gross takings and body counts. For films with a body count greater than 50, those with higher body counts tend to have higher gross takings.	E1	4	Correct conclusion in context																																				

AQA\_JUNE\_2013\_6b

6(b)(i)	$d$ 0 0 1.5 0 0 1 1 1 2.5 $\sum d^2 = 11.5$	M1		Differences
	SRCC $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times \sum d^2}{9 \times 80} = 0.904$	M1 A1		Formula correct
	or SRCC $r_s = 0.904$ ( from calc)	(B3)	3	SC1 0.9 SC2 0.90 if no method shown
(ii)	$H_0$ : Rank orders of personality score and happiness score are independent. $H_1$ : Rank orders of personality score and happiness score are not independent. 2 tail 1% cv = 0.8167 test stat $r_s = 0.904$ $r_s > cv$	B1		Hypothesis
		B1		cv cao
		M1		comparison ft seen or implied
		A1		ts/cv correct
	Reject $H_0$ Significant evidence at 1% level to suggest an association (positive) between rank orders of personality score and happiness score. Students with a higher extrovert personality score tend to have a higher happiness score.	E1	5	in context – vice versa OK

AQA\_JUNE\_2012\_2

2				
(a)	ranks			
	$x$ 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 4, 2, 7, 8, 10, 8, 6, 5, 2, 1, 7, 9, 4, 3 $y$ 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 4, 2, 6, 8 10, 8, 6, 4, 2, 1, 7, 9, 5, 3	M1 M1 A1		for any ranks 2 separate sets of ranks All correct
	$r_s$ (from calculator) = 0.988 or 0.987 0.98/0.99 allow B2 if no method seen	B3	6	alternatively differences, $d$ : 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 $\sum d^2 = 2$ M1 diffs $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 2}{10 \times 99} = 0.988$ or 0.987 M1, A1
(b)	$H_0$ no association $H_1$ positive association 1 tail 1%	B1		Allow $p/p = 0$ or words Must be 1 tail
	test stat $r_s = 0.988$ critical value = 0.7333 tests stat $> 0.7333$ so significant evidence exists to reject $H_0$ and conclude that a positive association exists. This suggests that hurricanes in which there are higher numbers of injuries also result in a greater cost in property damage ( or positive assoc in context )	B1 M1		for cv comparison ts/cv; ft $r_s$ in (a) 0.7667, 0.7818/0.6485/0.700 B0 M1 E0
		E1	4	explanation in context

<b>6(a)</b>	Ranks						
		<i>Rank mother</i>	<i>Rank son</i>	<i>Rank d' ter</i>			
	1	1	2	2	M1		Any 3 correct ranks mother
	2	2	5½	1			
	3	3	1	4	M1		Any 3 correct ranks daughter
	4	4	5½	4			
	5	5	3	4			
	6	6½	8	6	M1		Ties correct in any column
	7	6½	7	7			
	8	8	10	8			
<b>(b)(i)</b>	9	9	9	9	A1		All correct
	10	10	4	10		4	
	$r_s = 0.598$ ( 3 sig figs)				B2		Alt diffs  d  1, 3½, 2, 1½, 2, 1½, ½, 2, 0, 6 $\sum d^2 = 66$ M1 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 66}{10 \times 99} = 0.6$ A1
	$r_s = 0.972$ ( 3 sig figs)				B2	4	Alt diffs  d  1, 1, 1, 0, 1, ½, ½, 0, 0, 0, $\sum d^2 = 4.5$ M1 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 4.5}{10 \times 99} = 0.973$ A1
	<b>(c)(i)(ii)</b> $H_0$ no assoc in ranks in population between mother and son/daughter $H_1$ positive assoc in ranks in population between mother and son/daughter				B1		or equivalent for both
	1 tail test 1 % level cv = 0.7333				B1		for cv
	Mother/son ts $r_s = 0.598 < 0.7333$ Accept $H_0$				M1		
	Mother/daughter ts $r_s = 0.972 > 0.7333$ Reject $H_0$				A1		conclusion correct
	There is significant evidence of a positive correlation between number of years spent in full-time education for mother and daughter but no significant evidence of a positive correlation for mother and son.				M1		
					A1		conclusion correct
					E1	7	

<b>1a</b>	Spearman's rank correlation coefficient is the appropriate measure of correlation for these data because there are no measured values given.	E1		E1 <u>Ranks only</u> for 400m & <u>position</u> only for cross country or ref to <u>orders given</u>																																				
<b>1b</b>	<p>Ranks</p> <table><tr><td></td><td><i>Rank 400m</i></td><td><i>Rank cross country</i></td><td><i> d </i></td></tr><tr><td><b>A</b></td><td>3</td><td>6 3</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td><b>B</b></td><td>4</td><td>1 8</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td><b>C</b></td><td>7</td><td>3 6</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td><b>D</b></td><td>5</td><td>2 7</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td><b>E</b></td><td>1</td><td>8 1</td><td>7</td></tr><tr><td><b>F</b></td><td>2</td><td>7 2</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td><b>G</b></td><td>8</td><td>4 5</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td><b>H</b></td><td>6</td><td>5 4</td><td>1</td></tr></table> <p><math>r_s = -0.595</math> ( 3 sig figs)</p>		<i>Rank 400m</i>	<i>Rank cross country</i>	<i> d </i>	<b>A</b>	3	6 3	3	<b>B</b>	4	1 8	3	<b>C</b>	7	3 6	4	<b>D</b>	5	2 7	3	<b>E</b>	1	8 1	7	<b>F</b>	2	7 2	5	<b>G</b>	8	4 5	4	<b>H</b>	6	5 4	1	M1 A1	1	M1 for ranks attempt cross country A1 all correct (can be reversed) can be implied by $d$  $mldep$ for $\sum d^2 = 134$ $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 134}{8 \times 63} = -0.595$ M1 A1
	<i>Rank 400m</i>	<i>Rank cross country</i>	<i> d </i>																																					
<b>A</b>	3	6 3	3																																					
<b>B</b>	4	1 8	3																																					
<b>C</b>	7	3 6	4																																					
<b>D</b>	5	2 7	3																																					
<b>E</b>	1	8 1	7																																					
<b>F</b>	2	7 2	5																																					
<b>G</b>	8	4 5	4																																					
<b>H</b>	6	5 4	1																																					
<b>1c</b>	<p><math>H_0: \rho_s = 0</math> <math>H_1: \rho_s \neq 0</math> 2 tail 5% test stat <math> r_s  = 0.595</math> <math> \text{critical value}  = 0.7381</math> <math>-0.595 &gt; -0.7381</math> so no significant evidence exists to reject <math>H_0</math></p> <p>This suggests that there is no correlation between rank/ position in 400m races and position in county cross country final race.</p>	B3  B1  B1 M1	5	B1 $r_s$ negative B2 $0.590 \leq  r_s  \leq 0.599$  Hypotheses oe  Correct abs value for cv 0.738(1) Correct comparison both -ve/ +ve																																				
<b>1d</b>	<p><math>H_0</math> accepted in error as <math>H_0</math> actually untrue Conclusion made that there is no correlation between rank/ position in 400m races and position in county cross country final race when, in reality, there is a correlation between them.</p>	E1dep  B1  E1	4  2	Conclusion correct in context  Correct explanation of Type II error  In context																																				
<b>1e(i)</b>	PMCC $r = -0.904$ (3 sf) (from calculator)	B3																																						
<b>(ii)</b>	<p>sc <math>-0.90</math> allow M1 M1 A0 (or B2) <math>-0.9</math> sc allow B1</p> <p>PMCC indicates a strong negative correlation between best time taken to run 400m and time taken to run cross country race final. This indicates that we would expect faster 400m runners to be slower at running the cross country race.</p>	E1	4	<p><math>(-0.905, -0.903)</math> or <math>r =</math></p> $\frac{8671.488 - \frac{434.4 \times 160.07}{8}}{3.17 \times 7.08} = \frac{-20.3}{22.4}$ <p><math>= -0.904</math> (3 sf) M1 (num), M1(denom), A1</p> <p>Interpretation in context</p>																																				

2(a)	<p>Ranks</p> <table><tr><th></th><th colspan="2">x</th><th colspan="2">y</th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>2</td><td>8</td><td>1</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>4</td><td>6</td><td>4½</td><td>5½</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>8½</td><td>1½</td><td>6</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td>D</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>3</td></tr><tr><td>E</td><td>1</td><td>9</td><td>3</td><td>7</td></tr><tr><td>F</td><td>8½</td><td>1½</td><td>8</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>G</td><td>7</td><td>3</td><td>9</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>H</td><td>3</td><td>7</td><td>2</td><td>8</td></tr><tr><td>I</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>4½</td><td>5½</td></tr></table> <p><math>r_s = 0.807</math> from calculator or <math>d = 1, -\frac{1}{2}, 2\frac{1}{2}, -2, -2, \frac{1}{2}, -2, 1, 1\frac{1}{2}</math> <math>\sum d^2 = 23</math> SRCC <math>r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 23}{9 \times 80} = 0.808</math></p>		x		y		A	2	8	1	9	B	4	6	4½	5½	C	8½	1½	6	4	D	5	5	7	3	E	1	9	3	7	F	8½	1½	8	2	G	7	3	9	1	H	3	7	2	8	I	6	4	4½	5½				
		x		y																																																			
A	2	8	1	9																																																			
B	4	6	4½	5½																																																			
C	8½	1½	6	4																																																			
D	5	5	7	3																																																			
E	1	9	3	7																																																			
F	8½	1½	8	2																																																			
G	7	3	9	1																																																			
H	3	7	2	8																																																			
I	6	4	4½	5½																																																			
		M1 m1  A1  B3 (m1) (m1) (A1)			Attempt to rank one column/category  Correct use of ties at least once  All correct and consistent  sc Ranked as one group gains M1 m1 only  (condone <b>0.806</b> ) AWRT  Differences (ignore sign) Formula correct  sc2 no method $r_s = 0.81$ sc1 no method $r_s = 0.8$ ( -B1 if negative) sc 4 -0.806/7/8 nms Note PMCC 0.691 gains 0																																																		
(b)(i)	<p>H<sub>0</sub> Rank orders of price and cocoa content are not associated H<sub>1</sub> Rank orders of price and cocoa content have a positive association</p> <p>1 tail 1% <math> cv  = 0.7667</math> <math> r_s  = 0.808</math> or <math>0.807</math> or <math>0.806 &gt; 0.7667</math></p> <p>Reject H<sub>0</sub> Significant evidence to suggest that rank orders of recommended <b>retail price</b> and percentage cocoa/ <b>cocoa content</b> have a positive association.</p>	B1  B1 M1  E1			Correct hypotheses oe H <sub>0</sub> no correlation H <sub>1</sub> positive correlation  cv correct cao awrt 0.767 consistent comparison with correct cv +/- or -/- (allow small slip in part (a))  Correct conclusion in context need price and <u>cocoa percentage</u> or <u>cocoa content</u> Dep ts/cv correct																																																		
(ii)	<p>H<sub>0</sub> rejected in error.</p> <p>Conclusion that there is a positive association between recommended retail price and percentage cocoa content, when, in fact, there is no positive association.</p>	E1  E1		4  2	Type I error defined  In context need price and <u>cocoa percentage</u> or <u>cocoa content</u>																																																		

(a) (i) Ranks

	Score rank	Takings ranks
A	6 7	2
B	3 10	10½
C	2 11	9
D	12 1	7
E	11 2	10½
F	4 9	3
G	8½ 4½	4
H	1 12	12
I	8½ 4½	1
J	5 8	8
K	10 3	6
L	7 6	5

Note  
PMCC  
0.2749  
B0

$r_s = \pm 0.312$  from calculator  
or  
 $d = 4, 7½, 7, 5, ½, 1, 4½, 11, 7½, 3, 4, 2$   
or  $(5, ½, 2, 6, 8½, 6, ½, 0, 3½, 0, 3, 1)$   
 $\sum d^2 = 374$  or  $\sum d^2 = 196$   
 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 374}{12 \times 143} = -0.308$  or  $1 - \frac{6 \times 196}{12 \times 143} = 0.315$

(ii)  $H_0$  Rank orders of gender bias score and takings are independent.  
 $H_1$  Rank orders of gender bias score and takings are not independent.  
2 tail 10%  $|cv| = 0.5035$   
 $|r_s| = 0.312$  or  $0.308$  or  $0.315 < 0.5035$   
Accept  $H_0$   
No significant evidence at 10% level to doubt that rank orders of gender bias score and takings are independent

M1

Attempt to rank **score** ( can be reversed)

M1

Correct use of ties

B3

scB2 no method  $r_s = \pm 0.31$

or

B1

M1

A1

Differences and  $\sum d^2$  effort  
formula correct  
- 0.308, 0.315

5

B1

Allow  $H_0$  No association  
 $H_1$  Association (or ref to  $r/p$ )  
Correct hypotheses stated in (i) or (ii)

B1

M1

cv correct cao  
consistent comparison correct SRCC  
and correct cv +/- or -/- PI

E1

4

Conclusion in context

(b)  
(i)

SRCC  
0.754  
B0

PMCC = 0.813 from calculator  
SC  
0.81 allow M1 M1 A0 (or B2)

B3

or

$$r_s = \frac{96124 - \frac{1333 \times 802}{12}}{\sqrt{2728.9 \times 27429.7}} = \frac{87253.2}{52.2 \times 165.6}$$

3

$$= \frac{7035.2}{8651.8} = 0.813 \text{ (3 sf)}$$

M1, M1, A1 awfw (0.811, 0.815)

Hypotheses oe

B1

B1

M1

cao cv  
consistent comparison correct PMCC  
with cv

E1

4

correct conclusion in context

(ii)  $H_0: \rho = 0$   
 $H_1: \rho > 0$  1 tail 1%

test stat  $|r| = 0.813$   
 $|cv| = 0.6581$   
 $0.813 > 0.6581$   
Reject  $H_0$

Significant evidence to suggest that there is a positive correlation between running time and budget  
or Arthur's belief is correct/supported by the conclusion.



<p><b>1(a)</b></p> <p>or <math>\sum xy = 158928</math> B1</p> <p>and <math>r = \frac{\frac{158928}{12} - \left(\frac{1495}{12} \times \frac{1271}{12}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{189473}{12} - \left(\frac{1495}{12}\right)^2\right) \times \left(\frac{134781}{12} - \left(\frac{1271}{12}\right)^2\right)}}</math></p> <p>oe</p> <p><math>= \frac{48.549}{16.383 \times 3.662} = 0.809</math> M1 A1</p> <p><b>1(b)</b></p> <p><math>H_0: \rho = 0</math>  <math>H_1: \rho &gt; 0</math> 1 tail 1%  test stat <math>r = 0.809</math>  critical value = 0.658  <math>0.809 &gt; 0.658</math> so significant evidence exists to reject <math>H_0</math></p> <p>This suggests that there is a <b>positive correlation</b> between <b>height</b> and systolic <b>blood pressure</b> for healthy boys aged between 5 years and 10 years.</p> <p><b>1(c)</b></p> <p>Conclusion can only refer to <b>healthy/boys no girls</b>, not all children</p>	<p>B3</p> <p>B1</p> <p>B1 M1 dep cv</p> <p>E1</p>	<p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>1</p>	<p>sc 0.81 no workings B2 sc 0.8 no working B1</p> <p>(0.799 – 0.815)</p> <p>Hypotheses oe</p> <p>Correct value for cv Comparison 'ts'/cv [or Reject <math>H_0</math>]</p> <p>Conclusion correct in context Dep ts and cv correct</p>
---	---	----------------------------	---



6(a)(i)	<table><tr><td>student</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>micro rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>macro rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>4</td></tr></table>	student	1	2	3	4	5	micro rank	1	2	3	4	5	macro rank	1	2	5	7	4	M1 A1	5	$(r = 0.927)$
	student	1	2	3	4	5																
	micro rank	1	2	3	4	5																
	macro rank	1	2	5	7	4																
	<table><tr><td>student</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>micro rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>macro rank</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr></table>	student	6	7	8	9	10	micro rank	6	7	8	9	10	macro rank	3	6	8	9	10			attempt at ranks
	student	6	7	8	9	10																
	micro rank	6	7	8	9	10																
	macro rank	3	6	8	9	10																
	$r_s = 0.854(5)$ (3 sf from calc)	B3	<b>Alternative</b> $d = 0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0$ $\sum d^2 = 24$ $B1r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 24}{10 \times 99}$ $= 0.854(5)$ M1, A1 (2 sf and no working SC4, A0)																			
	(a)(ii)	$H_0$ Rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks are independent. $H_1$ Rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks are not independent. 2 tail 2%	B1	$H_0$ no association $H_1$ association																		
$cv = \pm 0.7333$		B1	for cv																			
test stat $r_s = 0.854(5)$		M1	for comparison ts/cv $r_s = 0.854(5)$																			
$r_s > 0.7333$ or $ r_s  >  cv $		A1	allow A1 if $r$ 'close' and marks lost in (a)(i)																			
Reject $H_0$ Significant evidence at 2% level to suggest an association between rank orders of micro-economics marks and macro-economics marks. [Student with higher rank mark in micro-economics also has higher rank mark in macro-economics]		E1	in context																			



	Solution	Mark	Total	Comments
2(a)(i)	<p>From calculator <math>r = 0.891</math></p> $\text{or } r = \frac{29495 - \frac{2885 \times 69}{7}}{\sqrt{14242.86} \times \sqrt{98.86}}$ $= \frac{1057.14}{119.34 \times 9.94}$ $= 0.891$	M1 m1 A1		<p>Alternative <math>n = 7</math></p> $\sum y = 69 \quad \sum x = 2885$ $\sum y^2 = 779$ $\sum x^2 = 1203275$ $\sum xy = 29495 \quad \text{M1}$ <p>m1 formula in (i) or (ii) 0.885 to 0.905 A1 (3sf)</p>
(ii)	<p>From calculator <math>r = 0.658</math></p> $\text{or } r = \frac{34021 - \frac{2885 \times 81.8}{7}}{\sqrt{14242.86} \times \sqrt{15.35}}$ $= \frac{307.71}{119.34 \times 3.92}$ $= 0.658$	M1A1	5	<p>Alternative <math>n = 7</math></p> $\sum z = 81.8 \quad \sum z^2 = 971.24$ $\sum xz = 34021 \quad \text{M1}$ <p>0.650 to 0.665 A1</p>
(b)	<p><math>r_{xy} = 0.891 \quad r_{xz} = 0.658</math></p> <p><math>H_0 \quad \rho = 0</math></p> <p><math>H_1 \quad \rho &gt; 0</math> 1 tail 5 % sig level</p> <p>Need only be stated once</p> <p>test stat <math>r_{xy} = 0.891</math></p> <p>cv = 0.6694 <math>n = 7</math></p> <p>since <math>t &gt; 0.6694</math></p> <p>Reject <math>H_0</math></p> <p>test stat <math>r_{xz} = 0.658</math></p> <p>cv = 0.6694 <math>n = 7</math></p> <p>since <math>t &lt; 0.6694</math></p> <p>Accept <math>H_0</math></p>	B1       M1 A1✓  A1	4	<p>For hypotheses stated correctly once</p> <p>For cv and comparison</p> <p>For Reject <math>H_0</math>; ft</p> <p>For Accept <math>H_0</math></p>
(c)	<p>There is significant evidence to suggest a positive correlation between the calories and the fat content of milkshakes: the higher the fat content, the higher the calories.</p> <p>There is no significant evidence to suggest a positive correlation between the calories and the volume of the milkshakes.</p>	E1  E1	2	<p>Need to refer to part (b)</p>



2(a)	<p>From calculator <math>r = 0.915</math> (0.91456)</p> $\text{or } r = \frac{2102.57 - \left( \frac{135.2 \times 147.9}{10} \right)}{\sqrt{128.976} \times \sqrt{98.269}}$ $= \frac{102.962}{11.35 \times 9.913}$ $= 0.915$	B3	3	<p>AWRT B2 for 0.914 or 0.91–0.92 B1 for 0.9</p> <p><b>Alternative:</b>  <math>n = 10 \quad \sum x = 135.2 \quad \sum y = 147.9</math>  <math>\sum x^2 = 1956.88 \quad \sum y^2 = 2285.71</math>  <math>\sum xy = 2102.57 \quad (\text{M1})</math>  sub in formula (m1) (A1)</p>
(b)	<p><math>H_0 \rho = 0</math>  <math>H_1 \rho &gt; 0</math> 1 tail 1% sig level</p> <p>test statistic <math>r = 0.915</math>  cv = 0.7155 <math>n = 10</math>  since <math>ts &gt; 0.7155</math></p> <p><b>Reject <math>H_0</math></b></p> <p>Significant evidence at 1% level to suggest a positive linear association between the weight gain of mothers during pregnancy and the weight of their children at 3 years of age</p>	B1		Or words
		B1 M1		For cv For comparison ts/cv
		A1		
		E1	5	In context (ft)

2(a)	<table><tr><td>Country</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>C</td><td>D</td><td>E</td></tr><tr><td>x rank</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>y rank</td><td>6</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>Country</td><td>F</td><td>G</td><td>H</td><td>I</td><td>J</td></tr><tr><td>x rank</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>y rank</td><td>8</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>3</td><td>1</td></tr></table>	Country	A	B	C	D	E	x rank	1	2	3	4	5	y rank	6	5	4	9	2	Country	F	G	H	I	J	x rank	6	7	8	9	10	y rank	8	10	7	3	1	M1		attempt at ranks (can be reversed)
	Country	A	B	C	D	E																																		
	x rank	1	2	3	4	5																																		
	y rank	6	5	4	9	2																																		
	Country	F	G	H	I	J																																		
	x rank	6	7	8	9	10																																		
	y rank	8	10	7	3	1																																		
		M1		for 16 correct																																				
		A1																																						
	$r_s = -0.212$ (3 sf from calc)	B3	6	Award B2 for $-0.22 \sim -0.20$ , B1 for $-0.2$ , but B0 for $-0.189$ (PMCC)																																				
<b>Alternative</b> $d = 5, 3, 1, 5, 3, 2, 3, 1, 6, 9$ $\sum d^2 = 200$	(B1)																																							
$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 200}{10 \times 99}$ $= 1 - 1.212 = -0.212$	(M1)																																							
	(A1)																																							
(b)	$H_0$ Rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles are independent.		$H_0$ no association																																					
	$H_1$ Rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles are not independent – there is an association	B1	$H_1$ some association																																					
	2 tail 10%																																							
	$cv = \pm 0.5636$ $n = 10$ 2 tail 10%	B1	for cv																																					
	test stat $r_s = -0.212$ $r_s > -0.5636$	M1	for comparison ts/cv; needs $r_s$ correct 2sf Allow $r_s = 0.212$ , $cv = 0.5636$ but not if signs are different																																					
	Accept $H_0$ No significant evidence at 10% level to suggest an association between rank orders of annual road deaths and number of motor vehicles for countries in the EU.	A1																																						
		E1	5 SC $-0.189$ used can earn max B1B1M1																																					

1(a)	<table><tr><th>Rank <math>x</math></th><th>Rank <math>y</math></th></tr><tr><td>10</td><td>8</td></tr><tr><td>9</td><td>6</td></tr><tr><td>8</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>10</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>2</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>7</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>1</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>3</td></tr></table>	Rank $x$	Rank $y$	10	8	9	6	8	9	7	10	6	2	5	5	4	7	3	4	2	1	1	3	M1		attempt at ranks (can be reversed)	inconsistent SC M1M1 B2
	Rank $x$	Rank $y$																									
10	8																										
9	6																										
8	9																										
7	10																										
6	2																										
5	5																										
4	7																										
3	4																										
2	1																										
1	3																										
	$r_s = 0.673$ (3 sf from calc)	M1		for 16 correct																							
		A1																									
		B3	6	AWRT	B2 0.67 B1 0.7 ft B2 from wrong ranks (small slip)																						
				No ranks seen, SC	0.67 B4 0.7 B3																						
				alternative																							
				$d = 2, 3, 1, 3, 4, ., 3, 1, 1, 2$																							
				$\sum d^2 = 54$	B1																						
				$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 54}{10 \times 99} = 0.673$	M1, A1																						
1(b)	$H_0$ Rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females are independent.	B1		or equivalent																							
	$H_1$ Rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females are not independent – there is an association																										
	2 tail 10%																										
	$cv = \pm 0.5636$ $n = 10$	B1		for cv																							
	test stat $r_s = 0.673$ $r_s > 0.5636$	M1		for comparison ts/cv SC Allow M1	0.593/0.5494 (pmcc)																						
	Reject $H_0$ . Significant evidence at 10% level to suggest an association between rank orders of age and percentage body fat in females.(or positive association)	E1	4	correct and in context																							